REMARKS

Claims 1 - 15 are pending in the application and are presented for a first substantive examination on the merits.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1 - 15 were subjected to an election of species requirement.

By this Response to Election of Species Requirement, a provisional election of a single disclosed species is made.

Election of Species Requirement Summary

The Examiner has required election of one of three species -1, 2, or 3, and identification of the claims reading thereon. The Examiner asserts that species 1, 2, and 3 are patentably distinct species as depicted in figures 3, 8, and 10, respectively.

Response

Applicant provisionally elects to continue prosecution of Species 1, figure 3. Applicant asserts that claims 1 – 4 read on figure 3. However, the Applicant's assertion in no way is an indication of an intention to limit the aforementioned claims to the embodiment depicted in figure 3. Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is generic to all species, and thus, if found allowable, claims drawn to the species embodied in Figures 8 and 10, which incorporate all of the limitations found in the claim 1 would also be available for prosecution at this time.

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request the Examiner to examine the elected claims pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to conduct a first

substantive examination of the application. If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this matter, he is welcomed to contact the undersigned attorney at the below-listed number and address.

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Sixth Floor Washington, DC 20005 (202) 775-8383 Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

By: Gary M. Nath

Registration No. 26,011 Marvin C. Berkowitz Registration No. 47,421 Derek Richmond

Registration No. 45,771

Customer No. 20529